

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/057,543	KONG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
N. Bhat	1764	

**All Participants:**

(1) N. Bhat.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Christian.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 March 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

Possible 102 rejection over claim 1 using the Kong 5,427,747 reference

**Claims discussed:**

1-4 and 1-33

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Kong 5,427,747

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner discussed restriction/election requirement. Applicant elected the apparatus claims, claims 1-25 without traverse. The examiner subsequently indicated in a second telephonic communication that apparatus would be allowable if applicant would include that the inner electrode of the dielectric barrier discharge plasma cell includes the catalyst, catalyst coating. Otherwise, claim 1 would read on applicant's own art 5,427,747. Applicant agreed to the suggested examiner's amendment combining the subject matter of claims 2-4 into claim, cancelling claim 2-4 and changing the dependency of claim 5 to depend from claim 1. Applicant's representative authorized cancellation of non-elected claims 26-33 without prejudice..